

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,643	12/29/2000		TOTAL POCKET NO.		
	12/23/2000	Kris Fleming	42390P9723		
	7590 04/04/2007				
Glenn E. Von		EXAMINER			
Seventh Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	BLAIR, DOUGLAS B			
12400 Wilshire		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026	2142			
SHORTENED STATUTOR					
/ 3 MOI	ONTHS		DELIVERY MODE		
/ J MO		04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	cation No.	7	Applicant(s)				
		09/75	2,643		FLEMING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			iner	7	Art Unit				
			as B. Blair		2142				
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	ation appears or	the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS LON  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If NO period for reply is spe  - Failure to reply within the se Any reply received by the C	TUTORY PERIOD FO IGER, FROM THE MA available under the provisions o the mailing date of this commu cified above, the maximum state at or extended period for reply we office later than three months aftent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF 137 CFR 1.136(a). In rinication. utory period will apply a fill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M a application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timel MONTHS from the e ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed	on 04 January	2007.						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 January 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
<i>'</i> —									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,32</u> -	- <u>34,37-47 and 49-61</u> is	/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the abov	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☐ Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,32</u> -	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,32-34,37-47 and 49-61</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)	_ '/								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restricti	on and/or election	on requirement.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specificatio	n is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s)	filed on is/are:	a)⊡ accepted o	r b)□ objected	to by the Ex	caminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	wing sheet(s) including t								
11)☐ The oath or dec	laration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attach	hed Office A	ction or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119								
12)∭ Acknowledgmei a)∭ All b)∭ So	nt is made of a claim fo me * c)⊡ None of:	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(	(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>									
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
• •	on from the Internation	•		4					
* See the attached	I detailed Office action	for a list of the o	centitied copies n	not received	•				
	•								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cit	ed (PTO-802)		A) 🗀 Intonéo	ew Summary (F	PTO_413\				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	O-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	e					
Information Disclosure S     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5)  Notice ( 6)  Other:		ent Application					

Art Unit: 2142

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/2006 with respect to the rejection of claims 56-58 based on 35 USC section 101 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that because the claims recite an "article" which is specifically described in the statute that the claim is statutory. This reasoning, however, is flawed for two reasons. First, the term "article" is not even mentioned in the 101 statute, so clearly it is not specifically described as alleged by the applicant. Second, even if the applicant is trying to refer to an "article of manufacture", the applicant's reasoning is conclusory because it concludes that the claim is directed towards an "article of manufacture" even though the evidence from the applicant's specification contradicts such a conclusion. A transmissive medium is not an article of manufacture, nor does it fall into any other statutory category. On further review claims 44-46 and claims 55-58 are now rejected under 35 USC section 101.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see Remark, filed 11/14/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 30, 32-34, 37-43, and 45-58 under 35 USC section 103(a) by the Bluetooth Specification and Kammer have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kammer. A close review of Kammer shows that Kammer anticipates the applicant's invention as claimed and as disclosed for reasons discussed in the following rejection.

Art Unit: 2142

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 44-46, 55-58, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The applicant's specification states that a machine-readable medium could be a transmissive medium that could be construed as an energy source such as a carrier wave and therefore makes claims 44-46 and 55-58 non-statutory.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 38-40, 51-52, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant's specification does not disclose the corresponding structure of the means-plus-function limitations of claims 38-40, 51-52, and 59. According to MPEP section 2181(II), the corresponding structure of the means-plus-function limitation must be disclosed in the specification itself in a way that one skilled in the art will understand what structure will perform the recited function.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 38-40, 51-52, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Because the corresponding structure of the means-plus-function limitations of claims 38-40, 51-52, and 59 is not disclosed by the applicant's specification, the claims are indefinite. See MPEP section 2181(III).

## Claim Objections

9. Claim 37 objected to because of the following informalities: it depends on claim 36 which is cancelled. For examination purposes it will be assumed to have meant to depend upon claim 30. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 30, 32-34, 37-47, and 49-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,826,387 to Kammer.
- 12. Kammer teaches the invention as claimed (as in exemplary claim 30) including a method comprising: receiving a service record at a first radio device from a second radio device through

Art Unit: 2142

a virtual communications port, the service record including a service record handle to identify the service record, a service name to identify a service of the second radio device, and a virtual communications port associated with the service record (col. 13, lines 6-14, Device B receives the service record including the name from Device A); maintaining a database of radio device service records containing a service name and an associated virtual communications port for each service record (col. 12, lines 51-58, both Devices A and B can be considered to maintain database for service records); sending a connection request from the first radio device to the second radio device, the connection request including the service name to indicate the appropriate service (col. 13, lines 15-17, the service name is used to locate the application); and connecting to a first service for which a radio device service record exists in the database utilizing the service name of the first service to initiate the connection (col. 13, lines 16-22, the connection is established after the service name is used to locate the application).

- 13. Kammer teaches a method (as in claim 32) wherein receiving a service record comprises receiving a service record from an advertising device (col. 13, lines 6-14).
- 14. Kammer teaches a method (as in claim 33) comprising sending a query and wherein receiving a service record comprises receiving service record in response to a query (col. 13, lines 6-14).
- 15. Kammer teaches a method (as in claim 34) wherein sending a query is sent utilizing a Bluetooth protocol SDP request and wherein the service record is received in the form of an SDP response (col. 13, lines 6-14).
- 16. Kammer teaches a method (as in claim 37) further comprising connecting to a second service for which a radio device service record exits in the database utilizing the service name of

Art Unit: 2142

the second service to initiate the connection (col. 13, lines 6-23, Kammer is clearly not limited to just one service).

- 17. Kammer teaches a method (as in claim 58) wherein sending a connection request comprises sending the connection request without including an indication of the virtual communications port through which the service record was received (col. 13, lines 14-19, only the service name is used to request/locate the service. Only then is the connection established using the ports).
- 18. As to claims 38-40 and 59, Kammer teaches an apparatus that implements the method of claims 30, 32-34, 37, and 58.
- 19. As to claims 41-43 and 60, Kammer teaches radio devices that implement the method of claims 30, 32-34, 37, and 58 (Kammer uses Bluetooth devices just as the applicant).
- 20. As to claims 44-46 and 61, Kammer teaches a medium embodying instructions for implementing the method of claims 30, 32-34, 37, and 58.
- 21. As to claims 47 and 49-57, they correspond to the method of claims 30, 32-34, 37-46, and 58-61 with just the nomenclature of the first and second devices changed, therefore the are rejected for the same reasons pointed out previously.

## Conclusion

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

DBB.

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Coldud